PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
То:		PCT
Kanji NAGATO	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	07/09/2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference FPHH959PC	FOR FURTHER A	CTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP2004/006388 12/05/20	04	13/05/2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification C1 F02D29/14	tion and IPC	
Applicant HINODE, LTD.		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international appliabox No. VIII Certain observations on the international	rd to novelty, inventive a)(i) with regard to nov ich statement	e step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is ma International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") exce other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has ropinions of this International Searching Authority will not be If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a writter a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the property for further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 	ept that this does not ap notified the Internation so considered. n opinion of the IPEA, before the expiration	ply where the applicant chooses an Authority al Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Date of completion of t	this oninion	Authorized officer
JAPAN PATENT OFFICE 17/08/200	•	Kazuo SHIBATA
Facsimile No.	-	
i acomine (NO.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/06388

Во	Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
1.	1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)	which is the language of a
2.	 2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international ap claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: a. type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing 	plication and necessary to the
	b. format of material on paper in electronic form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed filed together with the international application in electronic form furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	•
3.	3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or tal filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or addit in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate,	ional copies is identical to that
4.	4. Additional comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/006388

Box No. II Priority	
The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the Internation possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that is the claimed priority date.	where required, a translation of that earlier
2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the inconsidered to be the relevant date.	fact that the priority claim has been found nternational filing date indicated above is
3. Additional observations, if necessary:	,
Japanese Patent Application No. 2003-134928, application on the basis of which a right of	priority is claimed
for this international application, is an apression respect to the same object in the same could be application No. 2002-36969	ntry of the Union as
earlier than Japanese Patent Application No Japanese Patent Application No. 2002-36969	is already laid open
for public inspection. Hence, Japanese Par 2003-134928 is not deemed the first application.	ation referred to in
Article 4 C(4) of the Paris Convention, and basis for claiming the right of priority.	cannot serve as the
	•

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/006388

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	4 1 - 3	Y
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1 - 4	YI
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1 - 4	YI

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 2003-239311A (Hinode, Ltd.), 27.08.2003, full text and all drawings (family: none)

Document 2: Microfilm recording the specification and drawings originally annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 56-89790 (Japanese Utility Model Application Laid-open Publication No. 57-202449)

The invention according to claim 1 is disclosed in each of documents 1 and 2 cited in the International Search Report, and hence involves neither novelty nor inventive step.

The invention according to claim 2 or 3 is disclosed in document 1 cited in the International Search Report, and hence involves neither novelty nor inventive step.

The invention according to claim 4 involves no inventive step over document 1. The angle of inclination is a matter which a person skilled in the art can set appropriately.

COMMENTS ON WRITTEN OPINION OF INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

The opinion of the International Searching Authority states: Application A (JP Appln. No. 2003-134928), on the basis of which a right of priority is claimed for this International Application, is an application filed with respect to the same object in the same country of the Union as Application B (JP Appln. No. 2002-36969), which was filed earlier than Application A; Hence, Application A cannot serve as the basis for claiming the right of priority for this International Application.

However, Application A does not relate to the same object as Application B relates to, and contains the disclosure which Application B does not contain, that is, the feature that "the lower inclined surfaces (11b, 21b) of the cover body (10) and the receiving frame (20) are steeper than the upper inclined surfaces (11a, 21a) of the cover body (10) and the receiving frame (20)". Meanwhile, claim 1 of this International Application contains this feature disclosed in Application A.

Hence, Application A is the first application referred to in Article 4 C(4) of the Paris Convention, and can sufficiently serve as the basis for claiming the right of priority for this International Application.

Comments Page 1